Weimer, Noreen

From:

Novak, Dion

Sent:

Tuesday, November 04, 2014 3:13 PM

To:

Weimer, Noreen

Subject:

FW: Valleycrest - Call Notice

From: Mike Samples [mailto:mikes@demaximis.com]

Sent: Tuesday, August 27, 2013 7:55 AM
To: Novak, Dion; scott.glum@epa.state.oh.us

Cc: irichardson@craworld.com; jbuyers@craworld.com

Subject: RE: Valleycrest - Call Notice

Dion & Scott,

Here are the proposed discussion topics:

- 1. The ROD references some figures from Section 4.0 of the FS Report; however, they are not included in the Figures section of the ROD. Is this intentional?
- 2. Five potential RCRA haz areas in Area 1 (based on 20x rule for TCE) are to be treated with SVE prior to capping (see page 6 and 45). On page 48 it says the SVE could be done by the LFG wells to be installed. This would require the LFG/SVE wells to be installed before the cap.
- (a) TCLP sampling each area to see if it is actually haz may be a reasonable first step before going ahead with SVE. Would this be acceptable?
- (b) If one or more locations fail TCLP, then installing the LFG/SVE wells in those areas after cap construction would be a more standard sequence. Would this be acceptable?
 - 3. Waste in Area 3 that may be haz for lead and chromium (based on the 20x rule) is to be sampled for TCLP, and if fails, then either excavated or covered with a RCRA cap.
 - (a) if a RCRA cap is constructed over Area 3 instead of a SW cap (\$353k more for a RCRA cap), then if haz material is identified in Area 4 could it go under the Area 3 RCRA cap instead of off-site disposal?
 - (b) if (a) is "yes" and if more of the Area 4 material is found to be haz and needs to go under the Area 3 RCRA cap than was originally planned to go into Area 3 for grading purposes, then we may want to take some non-haz material out of Area 3 for use in grading 1, 2, and 5. Otherwise, we may need to import grading material for those areas. Would this be acceptable?

- (c) When does USEPA envision that Area 4 would be TCLP sampled during RD or RA?
- 4. The remedy costs on page 43 are the same costs from the FS Report. No costs appear to have been included for SVE in Area 1 nor for investigating/remediating potential metals TCLP failures in Areas 3 and 4. Is this correct?
- 5. Timing of next steps in process (i.e., referral to DOJ and Special Notice letters.

Mike

Michael H. Samples de maximis, inc. 450 Montbrook Lane Knoxville, TN 37919 (865) 691-5052 - Office (865) 548-1875 - Cell (865) 691-6485 - Fax mikes@demaximis.com www.demaximis.com

>>> "Novak, Dion" <<u>novak.dion@epa.gov</u>> 8/26/2013 4:31 PM >>> Mike

Can CRA put out a list of questions for me to consider for our call tomorrow? Thanks

From: Mike Samples [mikes@demaximis.com]

Sent: Friday, August 23, 2013 9:16 AM

To: irichardson@craworld.com; jbuyers@craworld.com; Novak, Dion; scott.glum@epa.state.oh.us

Subject: Valleycrest - Call Notice

Guys,

Lets plan to talk on Tuesday, August 27th @ 2:30 p.m. Eastern (1:30 Central).

To participate, dial 1-866-279-1566. When prompted, enter the meeting number *6278913* (include asterisks).

Mike

Sent from my iPhone